

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/696,890	CLARK ET AL.
	Examiner Peter A. Hruskoci	Art Unit 1724

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Paul E. Rauch

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 2 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 USC 112 rejection as stated in the prior Office action dated 12/21/05*

Claims discussed:

*All*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was noted that the specification was fully enabled in view of applicants remarks dated 4/27/06. It was agreed to amend the specification and delete Fig. 5 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment for the sake of clarity and accuracy.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)